

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,924	05/30/2001	Blake J. Roessler	UM-06191	7554
MEDLEN &C	7590 06/13/2007 ARROLL, LLP		EXAM	INER
101 Howard Street			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
Suite 350 San Francisco,	CA 94105	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/867,924	ROESSLER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Blessing M. Fubara	1618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ma</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 25,26,28-42,45-55 and 65 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 25, 26, 28-42, 45-55 and 65 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. ected. election requirement.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the correction of the co	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical prioric	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt request for extension of time, amendment and remarks filed 3/26/07. Claims 25, 45, 50 and 55 are amended. Claims 27, 43, 44, 56-29 are canceled, Claims 25, 26, 28-42, 45-55 and 65 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Previous rejections that are not reiterated herein are withdrawn.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/26/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 25, 26, 28-42, 45-55 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bielinska et al. (Bielinska et al. "Application of membrane-based dendrimer/DNA

Art Unit: 1618

complexes for solid phase transfection in vitro and in vivo" in Biomaterials, Vol.21, Issue 9, May 2000, pages 877-887).

Bielinska discloses transfecting DNA/dendrimer using membranes topically (pages 877-887). The skin patch membrane of the skin reads on the membrane of Bielinska.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 25, 26, 28-42, 45-55 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foldvari et al. ("Cutaneous vaccination: the skin as an immunologically active tissue and the challenge of antigen delivery," in Journal of Controlled Release, Volume 66, Issues 2-3, 15 May 2000, Pages 199-214) and Baker et al. ("Regulation of in vivo gene expression using antisense oligonucleotides or antisense expression plasmids transfected using starburst PAMAM dendrimers," in Nucleic Acids Research, 1996, Vol. 24, No. 11, pp 2176-2182) in view of Park et al. (US 6,267,987).
- 6. Foldvari discloses transdermal delivery of protein or nucleotide to the skin tissue (pp. 71-86). Foldvari discloses on page 205 that dendrimers are known to deliver DNA. Foldvari discloses cutaneous vaccination (title). The skin (paragraph 2) through which the vaccine is administered meets the limitation of skin tissue cells of the claims. Foldvari discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924

Art Unit: 1618

dendrimers that are complexed with DNA in spherical structures and the dendrimers and the DNA can be delivered to cell lines (right column, first full paragraph of page 205). Acrylate, PAMAM and polyethyleneimine polymers are some of the polymers listed that are used with the DNA (right column, page 205). Furthermore, Foldvari discloses the use of PLGA, PLA, lactides and glycolides for delivery of protein, carbohydrate or DNA vaccines (right column, page 204). These polymers are biocompatible and biodegradable. Liposomes are also used to deliver beneficial agents (paragraph 3.4). DNA oligonucleotide meets nucleic acid of the claims.

Regarding claim 26, Baker describes transfer of oligonucleotides in cell culture (abstract). Baker discloses the use of PAMAM dendrimers for effective delivery of oligonucleotides evaluated in vitro cell culture system (abstract, right column of page 2177). Park discloses polyester based dendrimer system for delivery of oligonucleotides (abstract; column 2, lines 3-5, 36-40; column 3, lines 24-34; column 4, lines 1-46; column 9, lines 8-17). Baker discloses the use of dendrimers to deliver DNA (pp 2176-2182).

Regarding biocompatible membrane of claim 32, 33 and 35, Foldvari describes microencapsulation in polyester membranes (microspheres, liposomes) and the polyesters are bioerodible. Regarding the collagen of claim 36, it would be obvious to substitute one membrane material for another and still expect effective delivery of the nucleotides. For example, collagen is an essential protein, which can be found in skin, connective tissue, blood vessels, bone and other parts of the body and collagen and PLGA have been used as membrane materials with dendrimer to deliver DNA (see abstract of Bielinska et al. "Application of

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924

Art Unit: 1618

membrane-based dendrimer/DNA complexes for solid phase transfection in vitro and in vivo" in Biomaterials, Vol.21, Issue 9, May 2000, pages 877-997, as a teaching reference).

The DNA meets the requirement of claims 25 and 45 as biological agent that is nucleic acid..

Wound healing, encoding growth factor, tissue vascularization, protein are all functions of DNA; protein that comprises protein that promotes tissue vascularization is the function of the protein. Thus claims 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53 and 54 are met.

Therefore, the motivation to combine the references flows from teaching in the references that oligonucleotides are deliverable by dendrimers that are composed of polyesters (Foldvari and Park) and expected to successfully deliver the nucleotides to the tissues that are contacted with the dendrimer (abstract of Baker). Therefore, the cited references provide methods where the tissue and dendrimer compositions are brought into contact for the delivery of oligonucleotide. Regarding "active concentrations" in the phrase "contacting said tissue with said composition such that said biological agent is provided to said tissue at biologically active concentrations," it is noted that active concentration reads on any amount and since the prior art delivers oligonucleotide to tissues or cells, the prior art would meet any amount within the broad active concentrations claimed.

The combination of Foldvari and Baker discloses the use of dendrimers for the delivery of proteins or DNA. The combined reference failed to disclose the presence of polyester for the delivery. But Park discloses polyesters as carriers for delivery of nucleic acids (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the combined teaching of Foldvari and Baker for the delivery of DNA or

Art Unit: 1618

protein. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate polyesters with dendrimer and expect to successfully deliver DNA.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the references in combination or alone do not teach skin patch membrane so that none of the references teach all the elements of the claimed invention; that there is no reasonable expectation of success from the combined references because prior to applicant's work, it was not known to whether skin-patch membranes could transfect nucleic acid associated with dendrimers; that examiner failed to identify alleged motivation to combine the references, and that examiners expectation statement fails to indicate how and where the cited references lead one skilled in the art toward a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining the claimed invention upon combination of the references..

Response:

Skin patch membrane reads on transdermal delivery system and also on Foldvari's cutaneous vaccination (title). The skin (paragraph 2) through which the vaccine is administered meets the limitation of skin tissue cell of claims. Foldvari discloses dendrimers that are complexed with DNA in spherical structures and the dendrimers and the DNA can be delivered to cell lines (right column, first full paragraph of page 205). Acrylate, PAMAM and polyethyleneimine polymers are some of the polymers listed that are used with the DNA (right

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924

Art Unit: 1618

column, page 205). Furthermore, Foldvari discloses the use of PLGA, PLA, lactides and glycolides for delivery of protein, carbohydrate or DNA vaccines (right column, page 204). These polymers are biocompatible and biodegradable. Liposomes are also used to deliver beneficial agents (paragraph 3.4). Baker discloses the use of PAMAM dendrimers for effective delivery of oligonucleotides evaluated in vitro cell culture system (abstract, right column of page 2177). Park discloses polyester based dendrimer system for delivery of oligonucleotides (abstract; column 2, lines 3-5, 36-40; column 3, lines 24-34; column 4, lines 1-46; column 9, lines 8-17). There is thus a reasonable expectation of success that the dendritic delivery systems of both Foldvari and Baker would deliver nucleic acid to the skin tissue cells by the transdermal process, which is topical and to the skin. The motivation to combine the references stems from the ability of the prior art to deliver nucleic acid by transdermal administration. Therefore, the cited references provide methods where the tissue and dendrimer compositions are brought into contact for the delivery of oligonucleotide. The prior art is what is known in the prior art. The prior art teaches the ability to transdermally deliver nucleic acid before applicant's invention according to the teaching of Foldvari. "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Applicant has not provided a showing that the nucleic acid cannot be delivered transdermally as taught by Foldvari, keeping in mind that transdermal delivery is topical and via skin tissue cells. It is known in the prior art that transdermal delivery utilizes patch that is topically affixed to the skin.

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blessing Fubara
Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600